arXiv:0908.2229v5 [math.GN] 6 Nov 2009

THE TOPOLOGY OF SYSTEMS OF HYPERSPACES DETERMINED BY DIMENSION FUNCTIONS

TARAS BANAKH AND NATALIA MAZURENKO

ABSTRACT. Given a non-degenerate Peano continuum X, a dimension function $\mathsf{D}:2^X_*\to[0,\infty]$ defined on the family 2^X_* of compact subsets of X, and a subset $\Gamma\subset[0,\infty)$, we recognize the topological structure of the system $\langle 2^X,\mathsf{D}_{\le\gamma}(X)\rangle_{\alpha\in\Gamma}$, where 2^X is the hyperspace of non-empty compact subsets of X and $\mathsf{D}_{\le\gamma}(X)$ is the subspace of 2^X, consisting of non-empty compact subsets $K\subset X$ with $\mathsf{D}(K)\le\gamma$.

1. Introduction

The problem of topological characterization (identification) of topological objects is a central problem in topology. A classical result of this sort is the Curtis-Schori Theorem [6] asserting that for each non-degenerate Peano continuum X the hyperspace 2^X of non-empty compact subsets of X endowed with the Vietoris topology is homeomorphic to the Hilbert cube $Q=[-1,1]^\omega$. At bit later, D.Curtis [5] characterized topological spaces X whose hyperspace 2^X is homeomorphic to the pseudointerior $s=(-1,1)^\omega$ of the Hilbert cube as connected locally connected Polish nowhere locally compact spaces.

In [8] T.Dobrowolski and L.Rubin recognized the topology of the subspace $\dim_{\le n}(Q)\subset 2^Q$ consisting of compact subsets of Q having covering dimension $\le n$. They constructed a homeomorphism $h:2^Q\to Q^\omega$ such that $h(\dim_{\le n}(Q))=Q^n\times s^{\omega\setminus n}$ for all $n=\{0,\dots,n-1\}\in\omega$. In this case it is said that the system $\langle 2^Q,\dim_{\le n}(Q)\rangle_{n\in\omega}$ is homeomorphic to the system $\langle Q^\omega,Q^n\times s^{\omega\setminus n}\rangle_{n\in\omega}$.

This result was later generalized by H.Gladdines [12] to products of Peano continua. Finally, R.Cauty [3] has characterized spaces X for which the system $\langle 2^X,\dim_{\le n}(X)\rangle_{n\in\omega}$ is homeomorphic to $\langle Q^\omega,Q^n\times s^{\omega\setminus n}\rangle_{n\in\omega}$ as Peano continua whose any non-empty open subset contains compact subsets of arbitrary high finite dimension.

In [13] given a metric space X the second author initiated the study of the subspace $HD_{\le\gamma}(X)\subset 2^X$ of compact subsets of X whose Hausdorff dimension is $\le\gamma$. Unlike the (integer-valued) topological dimension, the Hausdorff dimension of a metric compactum can take on any non-negative real value γ. So, the system $\langle 2^X,HD_{\le\gamma}(X)\rangle_{\gamma\in[0,\infty)}$ that naturally appears in this situation is uncountable. In [14] it was proved that for a finite-dimensional cube $X=[0,1]^n$ the system $\langle 2^X,HD_{\le\gamma}(X)\rangle_{\gamma\in[0,n)}$ is homeomorphic to the system $\langle Q^{\mathbb{Q}},Q^{\mathbb{Q}_{\le\gamma}}\times s^{\mathbb{Q}_{>\gamma}}\rangle_{\gamma\in[0,n)}$ (by $\mathbb{Q}$ we denote the space of rational numbers). Here for a subset $A\subset\mathbb{R}$ and a real number γ we put

$$A_{\le\gamma}=\{a\in A:a\le\gamma\},\quad A_{\ge\gamma}=\{a\in A:a\ge\gamma\}$$
$$A_{<\gamma}=\{a\in A:a<\gamma\},\quad A_{>\gamma}=\{a\in A:a>\gamma\}.$$

Both the (topological) covering dimension and the (metric) Hausdorff dimension are particular cases of dimension functions defined as follows.

Definition 1. A function $\mathsf{D}:2^X_*\to[0,\infty]$ defined on the family 2^X_* of compact subsets of a topological space X is called a *dimension function* if:

(1) $\mathsf{D}(\emptyset)=0$;
(2) D is *monotone* in the sense that $\mathsf{D}(A)\le\mathsf{D}(B)$ for any compact subsets $A\subset B$ of X;
(3) D is *finitely additive* in the sense that $\mathsf{D}(F\cup A\cup B)\le\max\{\mathsf{D}(A),\mathsf{D}(B)\}$ for any finite subset $F\subset X$ and disjoint compact subsets $A,B\subset X$;

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 57N17; 54B20; 54F45; 55M10; 54F65; 28A80.
Key words and phrases. Hyperspace, dimension function, Hausdorff dimension, Hilbert cube, absorbing system.
This work was supported by State Fund of fundamental research of Ukraine, project F25.1/099.

(4) D is *ω-additive* in the sense that each non-empty open subset $U \subset X$ contains non-empty open sets $U_n \subset U$, $n \in \omega$, such that each compact subset $K \subset \mathrm{cl}_X(\bigcup_{n\in\omega} U_n)$ has dimension $\mathsf{D}(K) \le \sup_{n\in\omega} \mathsf{D}(K \cap \overline{U}_n)$.

Given a dimension function $\mathsf{D} : 2^X_* \to [0,\infty]$ on X and a subset $\Gamma \subset [0,\infty)$, for every $\gamma \in \Gamma$ consider the subspace

$$\mathsf{D}_{\le\gamma}(X) = \{F \in 2^X : \mathsf{D}(F) \le \gamma\}$$

in the hyperspace 2^X. Our aim is to recognize the topological structure of the system $\langle 2^X, D_{\le\gamma}(X)\rangle_{\gamma\in\Gamma}$.

In the sequel, by a Γ-system $\langle X, X_\gamma\rangle_{\gamma\in\Gamma}$ we shall understand a pair consisting of a set X and a family $\langle X_\gamma\rangle_{\gamma\in\Gamma}$ of subsets of X, indexed by the elements of an index set Γ. Two Γ-systems $\langle X, X_\gamma\rangle_{\gamma\in\Gamma}$ and $\langle Y, Y_\gamma\rangle_{\gamma\in\Gamma}$ are *homeomorphic* if there is a homeomorphism $h : X \to Y$ such that $h(X_\gamma) = Y_\gamma$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$.

The following theorem describes the topological structure of the Γ-system $\langle 2^X, \mathsf{D}_{\le\gamma}(X)\rangle_{\gamma\in\Gamma}$ for a dimension function $\mathsf{D} : 2^X_* \to [0,\infty]$ taking values in the half-line with attached infinity (that is assumed to be larger than any real number). In that theorem we shall refer to the subsets $(\gamma]_\Gamma$ defined for $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{R}$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$ as follows:

$$(\gamma]_\Gamma = \begin{cases} (\gamma, \inf(\Gamma_{>\gamma})] & \text{if } \ \gamma < \inf(\Gamma_{>\gamma}); \\ (\sup(\Gamma_{<\gamma}), \gamma] & \text{if } \ \Gamma \ni \sup(\Gamma_{<\gamma}) < \gamma = \inf(\Gamma_{>\gamma}); \\ [\sup(\Gamma_{<\gamma}), \gamma] & \text{in all other cases.} \end{cases}$$

In this definition we assume that $\sup(\emptyset) = -\infty$ and $\inf(\emptyset) = +\infty$.

Theorem 1. *Let X be a topological space and $\mathsf{D} : 2^X_* \to [0,\infty]$ be a dimension function. For every subset $\Gamma \subset [0,\infty)$ the Γ-system $\langle 2^X, \mathsf{D}_{\le\gamma}(X)\rangle_{\gamma\in\Gamma}$ is homeomorphic to the Γ-system $\langle Q^{\mathbb{Q}}, Q^{\mathbb{Q}_{\le\gamma}} \times s^{\mathbb{Q}_{>\gamma}}\rangle_{\gamma\in\Gamma}$ if and only if*

1. *X is a non-degenerate Peano continuum,*
2. *each subspace $\mathsf{D}_{\le\gamma}(X)$, $\gamma \in \Gamma$, is of type G_δ in 2^X, and*
3. *each non-empty open set $U \subset X$ for every $\gamma \in \Gamma$ contains a compact subset $K \subset U$ with $\mathsf{D}(K) \in (\gamma]_\Gamma$.*

First, we apply this theorem to integer-valued dimension functions. We identify each natural number n with the set $\{0, \dots, n-1\}$. Also we put $\overline{\omega} = \omega \cup \{\omega\}$.

Corollary 1. *Let X be a topological space and $\mathsf{D} : 2^X_* \to \overline{\omega}$ be a dimension function. For every $n \in \overline{\omega}$ the n-system $\langle 2^X, \mathsf{D}_{\le k}(X)\rangle_{k\in n}$ is homeomorphic to the n-system $\langle Q^\omega, Q^k \times s^{\omega\setminus k}\rangle_{k\in n}$ if and only if*

1. *X is a non-degenerate Peano continuum,*
2. *each subspace $\mathsf{D}_{\le k}(X)$, $k \in n$, is of type G_δ in 2^X, and*
3. *each non-empty open set $U \subset X$ for every $k \in n$ contains a compact subset $K \subset U$ with $\mathsf{D}(K) = k$.*

The covering dimension dim and the cohomological dimension $\dim_G$ for an arbitrary Abelian group G are examples of integer-valued dimension functions. Therefore Corollary 1 implies the following theorem of R. Cauty [3] that was mentioned above.

Theorem 2 (Cauty)**.** *For any non-degenerate Peano continuum X the ω-systems $\langle 2^X, \dim_{\le n}(X)\rangle_{n\in\omega}$ is homeomorphic to $\langle Q^\omega, Q^n \times s^{\omega\setminus n}\rangle_{n\in\omega}$ if and only if each non-empty open set $U \subset X$ contains an compact subset of arbitrary finite dimension.*

In [3] R. Cauty notices, that this theorem holds also for the cohomological dimension $\dim_G$ or any other dimension function in the sense of [8]. It does not demand any modifications of arguments in the proof.

Applying Theorem 1 to the half-interval $\Gamma = [0, b) \subset [0,\infty)$, we obtain:

Corollary 2. *Let X be a topological space and $\mathsf{D} : 2^X_* \to [0,\infty]$ be a dimension function. For every $b \in [0,\infty]$ the $[0,b)$-system $\langle 2^X, \mathsf{D}_{\le\gamma}(X)\rangle_{\gamma\in[0,b)}$ is homeomorphic to the $[0,b)$-system $\langle Q^{\mathbb{Q}}, Q^{\mathbb{Q}_{\le\gamma}} \times s^{\mathbb{Q}_{>\gamma}}\rangle_{\gamma\in[0,b)}$ if and only if*

(1) *X is a non-degenerate Peano continuum,*
(2) *each subspace $\mathsf{D}_{\le\gamma}(X)$, $\gamma\in[0,b)$, is of type G_δ in 2^X, and*
(3) *each non-empty open set $U\subset X$ for every $\gamma\in[0,b)$ contains a compact subset $K\subset U$ with $\mathsf{D}(K)=\gamma$.*

Applying Corollary 2 to the Hausdorff dimension $\dim_H$ we obtain the following theorem whose partial case for $X=\mathbb{I}^n$ was proved in [14].

Theorem 3. *For a number $b\in(0,\infty]$ and a non-degenerate metric Peano continuum X the system $\langle 2^X, HD_{\le\gamma}(X)\rangle_{\gamma\in[0,b)}$ is homeomorphic to the system $\langle Q^{\mathbb{Q}}, Q^{\mathbb{Q}_{\le\gamma}}\times s^{\mathbb{Q}_{>\gamma}}\rangle_{\gamma\in[0,b)}$ if and only if each non-empty open subset $U\subset X$ has Hausdorff dimension $\dim_H(U)\ge b$.*

To derive this theorem from Corollary 2, we need to check the conditions (2) and (3) for the Hausdorff dimension. The condition (2) was establised in [13] while (3) follows from the subsequent Mean Value Theorem for Hausdorff dimension, which will be proved in Section 6.

Theorem 4. *Let X be a separable complete metric space X. For every non-negative real number $d<\dim_H(X)$ the space X contains a compact subset $K\subset X$ of Hausdorff dimension $\dim_H(K)=d$.*

A similar Mean Value Theorem holds for topological dimension: each regular space X with finite inductive dimension $\operatorname{ind}(X)$ contains a closed subspaces of any dimension $k\le\operatorname{ind}(X)$, see [10, 1.5.1]. However, (in contrast to the Hausdorff dimension) this theorem does not hold for infinite-dimensional spaces: there is an infinite-dimensional compact metrizable space X containing no subspace of positive finite dimension [10, 5.2.23].

2. Absorbing systems in the Hilbert cube

Theorem 1 is proved by the technique of absorbing systems created and developed in [7], [12]. So, in this section we start by recalling some basic information related to absorbing systems.

From now on all topological spaces are metrizable and separable, all maps are continuous. By $\mathbb{I}$ we denote the unit interval $[0,1]$, by $\mathbb{Q}$ the space of rational numbers, by $Q=[-1,1]^\omega$ the *Hilbert cube*, by $s=(-1,1)^\omega$ its *pseudointerior* and by $B(Q)$ its *pseudoboundary*. By *a Hilbert cube* we understand any topological space homeomorphic to the Hilbert cube Q. In particular, for each at most countable set A the power Q^A is a Hilbert cube; $B(Q^A)=Q^A\setminus s^A$ will stand for its pseudoboundary.

Given two maps $f,g:X\to Y$ and a cover $\mathcal{U}$ of Y we write $(f,g)\prec\mathcal{U}$ and say that f,g are *$\mathcal{U}$-near* if for every point $x\in X$ there is a set $U\in\mathcal{U}$ such that $\{f(x),g(x)\}\subset U$.

A closed subset A of an ANR-space X is a called a *Z-set* if for each map $f:Q\to X$ and an open cover $\mathcal{U}$ of X there is a map $g:Q\to X\setminus A$ such that $(f,g)\prec\mathcal{U}$. A subset $A\subset X$ is called a *σZ-set* if A can be written as the countable union of Z-sets. It is known [15] that a closed σZ-set in a Polish ANR-space is a Z-set. An embedding $f:K\to X$ is called a *Z-embedding* if the image $f(K)$ is a Z set in X.

It is well-known that each map $f:K\to Q$ defined on a compact space can be approximated by Z-embeddings, see [4], [15].

Let Γ be a set. By a *Γ-system* $\mathcal{X}=\langle X,X_\gamma\rangle_{\gamma\in\Gamma}$ we shall understand a pair consisting of a space X and an indexed collection $\langle X_\gamma\rangle_{\gamma\in\Gamma}$ of subsets of X. Given a map $f:Z\to X$ and a set $K\subset X$ let $f^{-1}(\mathcal{X})=\langle f^{-1}(X),f^{-1}(X_\gamma)\rangle_{\gamma\in\Gamma}$ and $K\cap\mathcal{X}=\langle K\cap X,K\cap X_\gamma\rangle_{\gamma\in\Gamma}$.

From now on, $\mathfrak{C}_\Gamma$ is a fixed class of Γ-systems.

Generalizing the standard concept of a strongly universal pair [1, §1.7] to Γ-systems we get an important notion of a strongly $\mathfrak{C}_\Gamma$-universal Γ-system.

Definition 2. A Γ-system $\mathcal{X}=\langle X,X_\gamma\rangle_{\gamma\in\Gamma}$ is defined to *strongly $\mathfrak{C}_\Gamma$-universal* if for any open cover $\mathcal{U}$ on X, any Γ-system $\mathcal{C}=\langle C,C_\gamma\rangle_{\gamma\in\Gamma}\in\mathfrak{C}_\Gamma$, and a map $f:C\to X$ whose restriction $f|B:B\to X$ to a closed subset $B\subset C$ is a Z-embedding with $(f|B)^{-1}(\mathcal{X})=B\cap\mathcal{C}$ there exists a Z-embedding $\tilde f:C\to X$ such that $(\tilde f,f)\prec\mathcal{U}$, $\tilde f|B=f|B$, and $\tilde f^{-1}(\mathcal{X})=\mathcal{C}$.

The strong universality is the principal ingredient in the notion of a $\mathfrak{C}_\Gamma$-absorbing system, generalizing the notion of an absorbing pair, see [1, §1.6].

Definition 3. A Γ-system $\mathcal{X}=\langle X,X_\gamma\rangle_{\gamma\in\Gamma}$ is defined to $\mathfrak{C}_\Gamma$-*absorbing* if

i) $\mathcal{X}$ is strongly $\mathfrak{C}_\Gamma$-universal;
ii) there is a sequence $\langle Z_n\rangle_{n\in\omega}$ of Z-sets in X such that $\bigcup_{\gamma\in\Gamma}X_\gamma\subset\bigcup_{n\in\omega}Z_n$ and $Z_n\cap\mathcal{X}\in\mathfrak{C}_\Gamma$ for all $n\in\omega$.

A remarkable feature of $\mathfrak{C}_\Gamma$-absorbing system in the Hilbert cube is their topological equivalence. We define two Γ-systems $\langle X,X_\gamma\rangle_{\gamma\in\Gamma}$ and $\langle Y,Y_\gamma\rangle_{\gamma\in\Gamma}$ to be *homeomorphic* if there is a homeomorphism $h:X\to Y$ such that $h(X_\gamma)=Y_\gamma$ for $\gamma\in\Gamma$.

The following Uniqueness Theorem can be proved by analogy with Theorem 1.7.6 from [1].

Theorem 5. *Two $\mathfrak{C}_\Gamma$-absorbing Γ-systems $\langle X,X_\gamma\rangle_{\gamma\in\Gamma}$ and $\langle Y,Y_\gamma\rangle_{\gamma\in\Gamma}$ are homeomorphic provided X and Y are homeomorphic to a manifold modeled on Q or s.*

By a *manifold* modeled on a space E we understand a metrizable separable space M whose any point has an open neighborhood homeomorphic to an open subset of the model space E.

3. Characterizing model absorbing systems

In this section, given a subset $\Gamma\subset\mathbb{R}$ we characterize the topology of the model Γ-system $\langle Q^{\mathbb{Q}},Q^{\mathbb{Q}_{\le\gamma}}\times s^{\mathbb{Q}_{>\gamma}}\rangle_{\gamma\in\Gamma}$. In fact, it will be more convenient to work with the complementary Γ-system

$$\Sigma_\Gamma=\langle Q^{\mathbb{Q}},Q^{\mathbb{Q}_{\le\gamma}}\times B(Q^{\mathbb{Q}_{>\gamma}})\rangle_{\gamma\in\Gamma},$$

where $B(Q^{\mathbb{Q}_{>\gamma}})=Q^{\mathbb{Q}_{>\gamma}}\setminus s^{\mathbb{Q}_{>\gamma}}$. We shall prove that the latter system is $\sigma\mathfrak{C}_\Gamma$-absorbing for a suitable class $\sigma\mathfrak{C}_\Gamma$ of Γ-systems.

Let $\Gamma\subset\mathbb{R}$. Let us define a Γ-system $\langle A,A_\gamma\rangle_{\gamma\in\Gamma}$ to be

- σ-*compact* if the space A is compact while all subspaces A_γ, $\gamma\in\Gamma$, are σ-compact;
- inf-*continuous* if $A_\gamma=\bigcup_{\beta\in B}A_\beta$ for any subset $B\subset\Gamma$ with $\inf B=\gamma\in\Gamma$.

By $\sigma\mathfrak{C}_\Gamma$ we shall denote the class of σ-compact inf-continuous Γ-systems. Let us observe that each Γ-system $\langle A,A_\gamma\rangle_{\gamma\in\Gamma}\in\sigma\mathfrak{C}_\Gamma$ is decreasing. Indeed, for any real numbers $\alpha<\beta$ in Γ the equality $\alpha=\inf\{\alpha,\beta\}$ implies $A_\alpha=A_\alpha\cup A_\beta\supset A_\beta$.

Each Γ-system $\mathcal{A}=\langle A,A_\gamma\rangle_{\gamma\in\Gamma}\in\sigma\mathfrak{C}_\Gamma$ can be extended to the $\mathbb{R}$-system $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}=\langle A,\tilde{A}_\gamma\rangle_{\gamma\in\mathbb{R}}\in\sigma\mathfrak{C}_\mathbb{R}$ consisting of the sets

$$\tilde{A}_\gamma=\begin{cases}\bigcup_{\alpha\in\Gamma_{\ge\gamma}}A_\alpha & \text{if } \sup(\Gamma_{<\gamma})\notin\Gamma \text{ or } \gamma=\inf(\Gamma_{\ge\gamma})\ ;\\ A_\alpha & \text{if } \alpha=\sup(\Gamma_{<\gamma})\in\Gamma \text{ and } \gamma<\inf(\Gamma_{\ge\gamma})\ ,\end{cases}$$

indexed by real numbers γ.

Lemma 1. *The $\mathbb{R}$-system $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}=\langle A,\tilde{A}_\gamma\rangle_{\gamma\in\mathbb{R}}$ is σ-compact,* inf-*continuous and extends the Γ-system $\mathcal{A}=\langle A,A_\gamma\rangle_{\gamma\in\Gamma}$ in the sense that $\tilde{A}_\gamma=A_\gamma$ for all $\gamma\in\Gamma$.*

Proof. To see that the $\mathbb{R}$-system $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ is σ-compact, fix any real number γ. The set $\tilde{A}_\gamma$ is clearly σ-compact if $\tilde{A}_\gamma=A_\alpha$ for some $\alpha\in\Gamma$. So, we assume that $\tilde{A}_\gamma\ne A_\alpha$ for all $\alpha\in\Gamma$. In this case $\tilde{A}_\gamma=\bigcup_{\alpha\in\Gamma_{\ge\gamma}}A_\alpha$ and $\inf\Gamma_{\ge\gamma}\notin\Gamma$. Choose any countable dense subset $D\subset\Gamma$ and observe that $\inf D_{\ge\gamma}=\inf\Gamma_{\ge\gamma}$ and hence

$$\tilde{A}_\gamma=\bigcup_{\alpha\in\Gamma_{\ge\gamma}}A_\alpha=\bigcup_{\alpha\in D_{\ge\gamma}}A_\alpha$$

is σ-compact, being the countable union of σ-compact spaces A_α, $\alpha\in D_{\ge\gamma}$.

Observe that for every $\gamma\in\Gamma$ we get $\gamma=\inf(\Gamma_{\ge\gamma})$ and hence $A_\gamma\subset\bigcup_{\alpha\in\Gamma_{\ge\gamma}}A_\alpha=\tilde{A}_\gamma$. The reverse inclusion $\tilde{A}_\gamma=\bigcup_{\alpha\in\Gamma_{\ge\gamma}}A_\alpha\subset A_\gamma$ follows from the decreasing property of the γ-system $\mathcal{A}$. Thus $A_\gamma=\tilde{A}_\gamma$, which means that the $\mathbb{R}$-system $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ extends the Γ-system $\mathcal{A}$.

Next, we prove that the $\mathbb{R}$-system $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ is decreasing. Given two real numbers $\beta<\gamma$, we need to show that $\tilde{A}_\beta\supset\tilde{A}_\gamma$. We consider four cases:

1) Both β and γ satisfy the first case of the definition of $\tilde{A}_\beta$ and $\tilde{A}_\gamma$:

$$\big(\sup(\Gamma_{<\beta})\notin\Gamma \text{ or } \beta=\inf(\Gamma_{\ge\beta})\big) \text{ and } \big(\sup(\Gamma_{<\gamma})\notin\Gamma \text{ or } \gamma=\inf(\Gamma_{\ge\gamma})\big).$$

In this case $\beta<\gamma$ implies $\Gamma_{\ge\beta}\supset\Gamma_{\ge\gamma}$ and thus

$$\tilde{A}_\beta=\bigcup_{\alpha\in\Gamma_{\ge\beta}}A_\alpha\supset\bigcup_{\alpha\in\Gamma_{\ge\gamma}}A_\gamma=\tilde{A}_\gamma.$$

2) The element β satisfies the first case of the definition of $\tilde{A}_\beta$ while γ satisfies the second case:

$$\big(\sup(\Gamma_{<\beta})\notin\Gamma \text{ or } \beta=\inf(\Gamma_{\ge\beta})\big) \text{ and } \alpha=\sup(\Gamma_{<\gamma})\in\Gamma \text{ and } \gamma<\inf(\Gamma_{\ge\gamma}).$$

In this case $\beta\le\alpha$. Indeed, assuming conversely that $\alpha<\beta$, we get $\Gamma_{<\beta}=\Gamma_{<\gamma}$ and thus $\alpha=\sup(\Gamma_{<\beta})\in\Gamma$, which implies that $\beta=\inf(\Gamma_{\ge\beta})$. In this case, $\alpha=\sup(\Gamma_{<\gamma})\ge\beta$, which is a contradiction. So, $\beta\le\alpha$ and then $\alpha\in\Gamma_{\ge\beta}$ and $\tilde{A}_\beta\supset A_\alpha=\tilde{A}_\gamma$.

3) The element β satisfies the second case of the definition of $\tilde{A}_\beta$ while γ satisfies the first one:

$$\alpha=\sup(\Gamma_{<\beta})\in\Gamma \text{ and } \beta<\inf(\Gamma_{\ge\beta}) \text{ and } \big(\sup(\Gamma_{<\gamma})\notin\Gamma \text{ or } \gamma=\inf(\Gamma_{\ge\gamma})\big).$$

In this case

$$\tilde{A}_\beta=A_\alpha\supset\bigcup_{\delta\in\Gamma_{\ge\gamma}}A_\delta=\tilde{A}_\gamma.$$

4) Both β and γ satisfy the second case of the definition of $\tilde{A}_\beta$ and $\tilde{A}_\gamma$:

$$\alpha_\beta=\sup(\Gamma_{<\beta})\in\Gamma,\ \beta<\inf(\Gamma_{\ge\beta}),\ \alpha_\gamma=\sup(\Gamma_{<\gamma})\in\Gamma,\ \gamma<\inf(\Gamma_{\ge\gamma}).$$

In this case $\alpha_\beta\le\alpha_\gamma$ and $\tilde{A}_\beta=A_{\alpha_\beta}\supset A_{\alpha_\gamma}=\tilde{A}_\gamma$. This completes the proof of the decreasing property of the $\mathbb{R}$-system $\tilde{A}$.

Finally, we show that the $\mathbb{R}$-system $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ is inf-continuous. Fix any real number γ and a subset $B\subset\mathbb{R}$ with $\gamma=\inf B$. We need to check that $\tilde{A}_\gamma=\bigcup_{\beta\in B}\tilde{A}_\beta$. The decreasing property of $\tilde{A}$ guarantees that $\tilde{A}_\gamma\supset\bigcup_{\beta\in B}\tilde{A}_\beta$. It remains to prove the reverse inclusion, which is trivial if $\gamma\in B$. So, we assume that $\gamma\notin B$. Two cases are possible:

1. $\sup(\Gamma_{<\gamma})\notin\Gamma$ or $\gamma=\inf(\Gamma_{\ge\gamma})$. In this case $\tilde{A}_\gamma=\bigcup_{\alpha\in\Gamma_{\ge\gamma}}A_\alpha$. We consider three subcases:

1a) If $\gamma=\inf(\Gamma_{>\gamma})$, then

$$\tilde{A}_\gamma=\bigcup_{\alpha\in\Gamma_{\ge\gamma}}A_\alpha=\bigcup_{\alpha\in\Gamma_{>\gamma}}A_\alpha$$

because of the inf-continuity of the system $\mathcal{A}$. Given any point $a\in\tilde{A}_\gamma$, find $\alpha\in\Gamma_{>\gamma}$ such that $a\in A_\alpha$. Since $B\not\ni\gamma=\inf B$, there is a point $\beta\in B\cap(\gamma,\alpha)$. Now the definition of $\tilde{A}_\beta$ implies that $a\in A_\alpha\subset\tilde{A}_\beta\subset\bigcup_{\delta\in B}\tilde{A}_\delta$.

1b) If $\Gamma\ni\gamma<\inf(\Gamma_{>\gamma})$, then we can find $\beta\in B\cap(\gamma,\inf(\Gamma_{>\gamma}))$ and conclude that $\tilde{A}_\gamma=A_\gamma=\tilde{A}_\beta\subset\bigcup_{\delta\in B}\tilde{A}_\delta$.

1c) If $\Gamma\not\ni\gamma<\inf(\Gamma_{>\gamma})$, then $\sup(\Gamma_{<\gamma})\notin\Gamma$. Choose any point $\beta\in B\cap(\gamma,\inf(\Gamma_{>\gamma}))$ and observe that $\Gamma_{\ge\beta}=\Gamma_{\ge\gamma}$, $\sup(\Gamma_{<\beta})=\sup(\Gamma_{<\gamma})\notin\Gamma$ and thus

$$\tilde{A}_\gamma=\bigcup_{\alpha\in\Gamma_{\ge\gamma}}A_\alpha=\bigcup_{\alpha\in\Gamma_{\ge\beta}}A_\alpha=\tilde{A}_\beta\subset\bigcup_{\delta\in B}\tilde{A}_\delta.$$

2. $\alpha=\sup(\Gamma_{<\gamma})\in\Gamma$ and $\gamma<\inf(\Gamma_{\ge\gamma})$, in which case $\tilde{A}_\gamma=\mathcal{A}_\alpha$. Since $\inf B=\gamma\notin\Gamma$, there is a point $\beta\in B\cap(\gamma,\inf(\Gamma_{\ge\gamma})$.

2a) If $\gamma\in\Gamma$, then $\sup(\Gamma_{<\beta})=\gamma\in\Gamma$ and thus $\tilde{A}_\gamma=A_\gamma=\tilde{A}_\beta\subset\bigcup_{\delta\in B}A_\delta$.

2b) If $\gamma\notin\Gamma$, then $\Gamma_{<\beta}=\Gamma_{<\gamma}$ and thus $\tilde{A}_\gamma=A_\alpha=\tilde{A}_\beta\subset\bigcup_{\delta\in B}A_\delta$. □

In the following theorem for every subset $\Gamma\subset\mathbb{R}$ we introduce a model $\sigma\mathfrak{C}_\Gamma$-absorbing system Σ_Γ in the Hilbert cube $Q^{\mathbb{Q}}$.

Theorem 6. *For every $\Gamma\subset\mathbb{R}$ the Γ-system $\Sigma_\Gamma=\langle Q^\mathbb{Q}, Q^{\mathbb{Q}_{\le\gamma}}\times B(Q^{\mathbb{Q}_{>\gamma}})\rangle_{\gamma\in\Gamma}$ is $\sigma\mathfrak{C}_\Gamma$-absorbing and hence is homeomorphic to any other $\sigma\mathfrak{C}_\Gamma$-absorbing Γ-system $\langle X, X_\gamma\rangle_{\gamma\in\Gamma}$ in a Hilbert cube X.*

Proof. First we check that the system Σ_Γ is strongly $\sigma\mathfrak{C}_\Gamma$-universal.

We start defining a suitable metric on the Hilbert cube $Q^\mathbb{Q}$. Let $\nu:\mathbb{Q}\to(0,1)$ be any vanishing function, which means that for every $\varepsilon>0$ the set $\{q\in\mathbb{Q}:\nu(q)\ge\varepsilon\}$ is finite. Take any metric d generating the topology of the Hilbert cube Q and consider the metric

$$\rho((x_q),(y_q))=\max_{q\in\mathbb{Q}}\nu(q)\cdot d(x_q,y_q)$$

on the Hilbert cube $Q^\mathbb{Q}$.

In order to prove the strong $\sigma\mathfrak{C}_\Gamma$-universality of the system Σ_Γ, fix a Γ-system $\mathcal{A}=\langle A,A_\gamma\rangle_{\gamma\in\Gamma}\in\sigma\mathfrak{C}_\Gamma$ and a map $f:A\to Q^\mathbb{Q}$ that restricts to a Z-embedding of some closed subset $K\subset A$ such that $(f|K)^{-1}(\Sigma_\Gamma)=K\cap\mathcal{A}$. Given $\varepsilon>0$, we need to construct a Z-embedding $\tilde f:A\to Q^\mathbb{Q}$ such that $\rho(\tilde f,f)<\varepsilon$, $\tilde f|K=f|K$ and $\tilde f^{-1}(\Sigma_\gamma)=A_\gamma$ for all $\gamma\in\Gamma$.

By Lemma 1, the Γ-system $\mathcal{A}$ extends to an $\mathbb{R}$-system $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}=\langle A,A_\gamma\rangle_{\gamma\in\mathbb{R}}\in\sigma\mathfrak{C}_\mathbb{R}$. We shall construct a Z-embedding $\tilde f:A\to Q^\mathbb{Q}$ such that $\rho(\tilde f,f)<\varepsilon$, $\tilde f|K=f|K$ and $\tilde f^{-1}(\Sigma_\gamma)\setminus K=A_\gamma\setminus K$ for all $\gamma\in\mathbb{R}$.

For every $q\in\mathbb{Q}$ let $\mathrm{pr}_q:Q^\mathbb{Q}\to Q$ denote the coordinate projection. Since $f(K)$ is a Z-set in $Q^\mathbb{Q}$, we can approximate the map f by a map $f':A\to Q^\mathbb{Q}$ such that $\rho(f',f)<\varepsilon/2$, $f'|K=f|K$ and $f'(A\setminus K)\cap f'(K)=\emptyset$. Using the strong $\sigma\mathfrak{C}_{\{0\}}$-universality of the pair $(Q,B(Q))$, for each $q\in\mathbb{Q}$ we can approximate the map $\mathrm{pr}_q\circ f':A\to Q$ by a map $\tilde f_q:A\to Q$ such that

a) $d(\tilde f_q(x),\mathrm{pr}_q\circ f'(x))\le\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\rho(f'(x),f(K))$ for all $x\in A$;
b) $\tilde f_q|A\setminus K$ is injective;
c) $\tilde f_q(A\setminus K)$ is a σZ-set in Q;
d) $\tilde f_q^{-1}(B(Q))\setminus K=A_q\setminus K$.

Now consider the diagonal product $\tilde f=(\tilde f_q)_{q\in\mathbb{Q}}:A\to Q^\mathbb{Q}$ of the maps $\tilde f_q$, $q\in\mathbb{Q}$. It follows from (a) that $\tilde f|K=f'|K=f|K$, $\rho(\tilde f,f)\le\rho(\tilde f,f')+\rho(f',f)<\varepsilon$ and $\tilde f(A\setminus K)\cap f(K)=\emptyset$. Combining this fact with (b) we conclude that the map $\tilde f:A\to Q^\mathbb{Q}$ is injective and hence an embedding. It follows from (c) that $\tilde f(A)$ is a σZ-set in $Q^\mathbb{Q}$ and hence a Z-set, see [15, 6.2.2]. Therefore, $\tilde f$ is a Z-embedding approximating the map f.

It remains to check that $\tilde f^{-1}(\Sigma_\gamma)=A_\gamma$ for every $\gamma\in\Gamma$. Since $\tilde f^{-1}(\Sigma_\gamma)\cap K=(f|K)^{-1}(\Sigma_\gamma)=K\cap A_\gamma$, it suffices to check that $\tilde f^{-1}(\Sigma_\gamma)\setminus K=A_\gamma\setminus K$.

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde f^{-1}(\Sigma_\gamma)\setminus K&=\tilde f^{-1}(Q^{\mathbb{Q}_{\le\gamma}}\times B(Q^{\mathbb{Q}_{>\gamma}}))\setminus K=\\&=\bigcup_{q\in\mathbb{Q}_{>\gamma}}\tilde f_q^{-1}(B(Q))\setminus K=\bigcup_{q\in\mathbb{Q}_{>\gamma}}A_q\setminus K=A_\gamma\setminus K.\end{aligned}$$

The last equality follows from the inf-continuity of the $\mathbb{R}$-system $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}=\langle A,A_\gamma\rangle_{\gamma\in\mathbb{R}}$ because $\gamma=\inf\mathbb{Q}_{>\gamma}$. This completes the proof of the strong $\sigma\mathfrak{C}_\Gamma$-universality of the system Σ_Γ.

It remains to check that the Γ-system Σ_Γ satisfies the second condition of Definition 3 of a $\sigma\mathfrak{C}_\Gamma$-absorbing system. It is clear the Γ-system Σ_Γ is σ-compact and decreasing. To show that it is inf-continuous, take any subset $B\subset\Gamma$ with $\gamma=\inf B\in\Gamma$. If $\gamma\in B$, then $\Sigma_\gamma\supset\bigcup_{\beta\in B}\Sigma_\beta\supset\Sigma_\gamma$. So, we assume that $\gamma\notin B$. Since the Γ-system $\langle Q^\mathbb{Q},\Sigma_\gamma\rangle_{\gamma\in\Gamma}$ is decreasing, we get $\Sigma_\gamma\supset\bigcup_{\beta\in B}\Sigma_\beta$. To prove the reverse inclusion, take any point $(x_q)_{q\in\mathbb{Q}}\in\Sigma_\gamma=Q^{\mathbb{Q}_{\le\gamma}}\times B(Q^{\mathbb{Q}_{>\gamma}})$ and observe that $x_q\in B(Q)$ for some $q\in\mathbb{Q}_{>\gamma}$. Since $\gamma=\inf B$ the half-interval $[\gamma,q)$ contains a point $\beta\in B$.

Then $(x_q)_{q\in\mathbb{Q}}\in Q^{\mathbb{Q}_{\le\beta}}\times B(Q^{\mathbb{Q}_{>\beta}})$ and thus $(x_q)_{q\in\mathbb{Q}}\in\Sigma_\beta\subset\bigcup_{\alpha\in B}\Sigma_\alpha$. Therefore, $\Sigma_\Gamma\in\sigma\mathfrak{C}_\Gamma$.

Since each space Σ_γ, $\gamma\in\mathbb{Q}$, is a σZ-set in $Q^\mathbb{Q}$, so is the countable union $\bigcup_{\gamma\in\mathbb{Q}}\Sigma_\gamma=\bigcup_{\gamma\in\mathbb{R}}\Sigma_\gamma$. So, we can find a sequence $\langle Z_n\rangle_{n\in\omega}$ of Z-sets in $Q^\mathbb{Q}$ such that

$$\bigcup_{n\in\omega}Z_n=\bigcup_{\gamma\in\mathbb{Q}}\Sigma_\gamma.$$

It follows from $\Sigma_\Gamma \in \sigma\mathfrak{C}_\Gamma$ that $Z_n \cap \Sigma_\Gamma \in \sigma\mathfrak{C}_\Gamma$, which completes the proof of the $\sigma\mathfrak{C}_\Gamma$-absorbing property of the system Σ_Γ.

By the Uniqueness Theorem 5, each $\sigma\mathfrak{C}_\Gamma$-absorbing system $\langle X, X_\gamma\rangle_{\gamma\in\Gamma}$ in a Hilbert cube X is homeomorphic to the $\sigma\mathfrak{C}_\Gamma$-absorbing Γ-system Σ_Γ. □

4. Strongly universal systems of hyperspaces

In this section we establish an important Theorem 7 detecting strongly $\mathfrak{C}_\Gamma$-universal Γ-systems in hyperspaces. In this section, Γ is any set and $\mathfrak{C}_\Gamma$ is a class of Γ-systems.

By the *hyperspace* of a topological space X we understand the space 2^X of nonempty compact subsets of X endowed with the Vietoris topology. This topology is generated by the sub-base consisting of the sets

$$\langle V\rangle = \{K \in 2^X : K \subset V\} \text{ and } \langle X, V\rangle = \{K \in 2^X : K \cap V \neq \emptyset\}$$

where V is an open subset of X. If the topology of X is generated by a metric d, then the Vietoris topology on 2^X is generated by the Hausdorff metric $d_H(A, B) = \max\{\max_{a\in A} d(a, B), \max_{b\in B} d(b, A)\}$.

In the sequel by $2^X_{<\omega}$ we shall denote the subspace of 2^X consisting of finite non-empty subsets of X. By [12], [15, 8.4.3] for a non-degenerate Peano continuum X the subset $2^X_{<\omega}$ is homotopy dense in 2^X.

We recall that a subset A of a topological space X is *homotopy dense* if there is a homotopy $h : X \times [0, 1] \to X$ such that $h(x, 0) = x$ and $h(x, t) \in A$ for all $x \in X$ and $t \in (0, 1]$.

We define a subspace $\mathcal{H} \subset 2^X$ to be *finitely additive* if

- $A \cup F \in \mathcal{H}$ for any $A \in \mathcal{H}$ and any finite subset $F \subset X$;
- $A \sqcup B \in \mathcal{H}$ for any disjoint sets $A, B \in \mathcal{H}$.

The first condition implies that each finite subset of X belongs to the family

$$\mathrm{add}(\mathcal{H}) = \{A \in 2^X : \forall B \in \mathcal{H}\ \ A \cup B \in \mathcal{H}\}.$$

For a Γ-system $\mathcal{H} = \langle 2^X, \mathcal{H}_\gamma\rangle_{\gamma\in\Gamma}$ the intersection

$$\mathrm{add}(\mathcal{H}) = \bigcap_{\gamma\in\Gamma} \mathrm{add}(\mathcal{H}_\gamma) \cap \mathrm{add}(2^X \setminus \mathcal{H}_\gamma)$$

will be called *the additive kernel* of $\mathcal{H}$.

For example, the additive kernel of the ω-system $\langle 2^X, \dim_{\le n}(X)\rangle_{n\in\omega}$ is equal to the subspace $\dim_{\le 0}(X)$ of all zero-dimensional compact subsets of X. The additive kernel of the $[0, \infty)$-system $\langle 2^X, HD_{\le\gamma}(X)\rangle_{\gamma\in[0,\infty)}$ is equal to the subspace $HD_{\le 0}(X) \subset 2^X$ consisting of subsets of X with Hausdorff dimension zero.

The following technical theorem was implicitly proved by R.Cauty in [3].

Theorem 7. *Let X be a non-degenerate Peano continuum. A Γ-system $\mathcal{H} = \langle 2^X, \mathcal{H}_\gamma\rangle_{\gamma\in\Gamma}$ is strongly $\mathfrak{C}_\Gamma$-universal if:*

1) *for every $\gamma \in \Gamma$ the subspaces $\mathcal{H}_\gamma$ and $2^X \setminus \mathcal{H}_\gamma$ are finitely additive;*
2) *for every non-empty open set $U \subset X$ there is a map $\xi : Q \to 2^U \cap \mathrm{add}(\mathcal{H})$ such that for any distinct points $x, x' \in Q$ the symmetric difference $\xi(x)\triangle\xi(x')$ is infinite;*
3) *for any non-empty open set $U \subset X$ and any Γ-system $\mathcal{C} = \langle C, C_\gamma\rangle_{\gamma\in\Gamma} \in \mathfrak{C}_\Gamma$ there is a map $\varphi : C \to 2^U$ such that $\varphi^{-1}(\mathcal{H}) = \mathcal{C}$.*

5. The strong $\sigma\mathfrak{C}_\Gamma$-universality of Γ-systems of hyperspaces

In this section, we detect strongly $\sigma\mathfrak{C}_\Gamma$-universal systems of the form $\langle 2^X, \mathsf{D}_{>\gamma}(X)\rangle_{\gamma\in\Gamma}$ where $\Gamma \subset [0, \infty)$ and $\mathsf{D} : 2^X_* \to [0, \infty]$ is a dimension function defined on the hyperspace of a non-degenerated Peano continuum X.

First we establish one property of dimension functions which is formally stronger that the ω-additivity.

Lemma 2. *Let X be a metrizable compact space without isolated points and $\mathsf{D} : 2^X_* \to [0, \infty]$ be a dimension function. For every non-empty open set $U \subset X$ there is a disjoint sequence $\langle U_n\rangle_{n\in\omega}$ of non-empty open sets of U such that*

(1) *$\langle U_n\rangle_{n\in\omega}$ converges to some point $x_\infty\in U$, which means that each neighborhood $O(x_\infty)$ contains all but finitely many sets U_n;*
(2) *for any compact subsets $K_n\subset U_n$, $n\in\omega$, the set $K_\infty=\{x_\infty\}\cup\bigcup_{n\in\omega}K_n$ is compact and has dimension $\mathsf{D}(K_\infty)\le\sup_{n\in\omega}\mathsf{D}(K_n)$.*

Proof. Take any non-empty open subset $V\subset X$ with $\mathrm{cl}(V)\subset U$. The ω-additivity of the dimension function D yields a sequence $\langle V_n\rangle_{n\in\omega}$ of open subsets of V such that for any compact subset $K\subset\mathrm{cl}(\bigcup_{n\in\omega}V_n)$ has dimension $\mathsf{D}(K)\le\sup_{n\in\omega}\mathsf{D}(K\cap\overline{V}_n)$.

Replacing the sets V_n by their suitable subsets, we can assume that $\mathrm{diam}(V_n)\to 0$ as $n\to\infty$. In each set V_n pick a point x_n. Since the space X has no isolated point, we can choose the points x_n, $n\in\omega$, to be pairwise distinct. Next, replacing the sets V_n by small neighborhoods of the points x_n, we can make the sets V_n, $n\in\omega$, pairwise disjoint. By the compactness of X, the sequence $\langle x_n\rangle_{n\in\omega}$ contains a subsequence $\langle x_{n_k}\rangle_{k\in\omega}$ that converges to some point $x_\infty\in\mathrm{cl}(V)\subset U$. Since $\mathrm{diam}(V_{n_k})\to 0$, the sequence $\langle V_{n_k}\rangle_{k\in\omega}$ also converges to x_∞.

It is clear that the sets $U_k=V_{n_k}$, $k\in\omega$, have the desired properties. □

Now we are able to prove the principal ingredient in the proof of Theorem 1. Below $\Gamma\subset[0,\infty)$ and $\sigma\mathfrak{C}_\Gamma$ stands for the class of inf-continuous σ-compact Γ-systems.

Theorem 8. *Let X be a non-degenerate Peano continuum, $\mathsf{D}:2^X_*\to[0,\infty]$ be a dimension function, and $\Gamma\subset[0,\infty)$. The Γ-system $\langle 2^X,\mathsf{D}_{>\gamma}(X)\rangle_{\gamma\in\Gamma}$ is strongly $\sigma\mathfrak{C}_\Gamma$-universal if and only if each non-empty open set $U\subset X$ for every $\gamma\in\Gamma$ contains a compact subset $K\subset U$ with $\mathsf{D}(K)\in(\gamma]_\Gamma$.*

Proof. To prove the "only if" part, assume that the system $\mathcal{D}=\langle 2^X,\mathsf{D}_{>\gamma}(X)\rangle_{\gamma\in\Gamma}$ is strongly $\sigma\mathfrak{C}_\Gamma$-universal.

Fix any non-empty open set $U\subset X$ and an element $\gamma\in\Gamma$. We need to find a compact subset $K\subset U$ with $\mathsf{D}(K)\in(\gamma]_\Gamma$.

Let $A=\{a\}$ be any singleton and put $A_\alpha=A$ for all $\alpha<\gamma$ and $A_\alpha=\emptyset$ for all $\alpha>\gamma$. Put also $A_\gamma=\emptyset$ if $\gamma=\inf(\Gamma_{>\gamma})$ and $A_\gamma=A$ otherwise.

Observe that the so-defined Γ-system $\mathcal{A}=\langle A,A_\gamma\rangle_{\gamma\in\Gamma}$ belongs to the class $\sigma\mathfrak{C}_\Gamma$. Now using the strong $\sigma\mathfrak{C}_\Gamma$-universality of the Γ-system $\mathcal{D}$, find a map $f:A\to 2^U$ such that $f^{-1}(\mathcal{D})=\mathcal{A}$.

We claim that the compact subset $K=f(a)\subset U$ has dimension $\mathsf{D}(K)\in(\gamma]_\Gamma$. To prove this inclusion, consider the three cases from the definition of the set $(\gamma]_\Gamma$.

(i) If $\gamma<\inf(\Gamma_{>\gamma})$, then $a\in A_\gamma$ and hence $K=f(a)\in\mathsf{D}_{>\gamma}(X)$ and $\gamma<\mathsf{D}(K)$. On the other hand, for every $\alpha\in\Gamma_{>\gamma}$ we get $a\notin A_\alpha=\emptyset$ and thus $K=f(a)\in 2^X\setminus D_{>\alpha}(X)=D_{\le\alpha}(X)$ and $\mathsf{D}(K)\le\alpha$, which implies $\mathsf{D}(K)\le\inf(\Gamma_{>\gamma})$. Consequently, $\mathsf{D}(K)\in(\gamma,\inf(\Gamma_{>\gamma})]=(\gamma]_\Gamma$.

(ii) $\Gamma\ni\sup(\Gamma_{<\Gamma})<\gamma=\inf(\Gamma_{>\gamma})$. In this case $a\notin A_\gamma=\emptyset$ and thus $K=f(a)\in\mathsf{D}_{\le\gamma}(X)$. On the other hand, $a\in A_\alpha$ where $\alpha=\sup(\Gamma_{<\gamma})<\gamma$ and hence $K=f(a)\in\mathsf{D}_{>\alpha}(X)$. Conseqeuntly, $\mathsf{D}(K)\in(\sup(\Gamma_{<\gamma}),\gamma]=(\gamma]_\Gamma$.

(iii) If $\gamma=\inf(\Gamma_{>\gamma})$ and $\sup(\Gamma_{<\gamma})$ is equal γ or does not belongs to Γ, then for every $\alpha\in\Gamma_{<\gamma}$, we get $a\in A_\alpha$ and thus $K=f(a)\in\mathsf{D}_{>\alpha}(X)$ ad $\mathsf{D}(K)>\alpha$. Consequently, $\mathsf{D}(K)\ge\sup(\Gamma_{<\gamma})$. On the other hand, $a\notin A_\gamma=\emptyset$ implies $K=f(a)\in\mathsf{D}_{\le\gamma}(X)$ and thus $\mathsf{D}(K)\in[\sup(\Gamma_{<\gamma}),\gamma]=(\gamma]_\Gamma$.

To prove the "only if" part, assume that for every non-empty open set $U\subset X$ and every $\gamma\in\Gamma$ there is a compact subset $K\subset U$ with $\mathsf{D}(K)\in(\gamma]_\Gamma$.

The strong $\sigma\mathfrak{C}_\Gamma$-universality of the system $\mathcal{D}$ will follow as soon as we check the conditions (1)–(3) of Theorem 7 for the class $\sigma\mathfrak{C}_\Gamma$.

1. The monotonicity of the dimension function D implies that the subspace $\mathsf{D}_{>\gamma}(X)$ of 2^X is finitely additive. The finite additivity of the complement $\mathsf{D}_{\le\gamma}(X)=2^X\setminus\mathsf{D}_{>\gamma}(X)$ follows from the finite additivity of the dimension function D.

2. To establish the condition (2) of Theorem 7, fix any non-empty open set $U\subset X$. Lemma 2 yields a sequence $\langle U_n\rangle_{n\in\omega}$ of non-empty open subsets of U that converge to some point $x_\infty\in U$ and has the property that for any compact subsets $K_n\subset U_n$ the set $K=\{x_\infty\}\cup\bigcup_{n\in\omega}K_n$ is compact and has dimension $\mathsf{D}(K)\le\sup_{n\in\omega}\mathsf{D}(K_n)$. Each set U_n contains a topological copy of the interval $[0,1]$, so we can find a topological embedding $\xi_n:[-1,1]\to U_n$.

Let $\nu:\omega\to\omega$ be any function such that the preimage $\nu^{-1}(n)$ of every $n\in\omega$ is infinite. Define a map $\xi:Q\to 2^U$ assigning to each $\vec{t}=\langle t_n\rangle_{n\in\omega}\in Q$ the compact subset

$$\xi(\vec{t})=\{x_\infty\}\cup\{\alpha_n(t_{\nu(n)}):n\in\omega\}$$

of U having a unique non-isolated point x_∞. The equality $\mathsf{D}(\emptyset)=0$ and the finite additivity of the dimension function D implies that $\mathsf{D}(F)=0$ for each finite subset $F\subset X$. The choice of the sequence $\langle U_n\rangle$ guarantees that $\mathsf{D}(\xi(\vec{t}))=0$ and thus

$$\xi(Q)\subset\mathsf{D}_{\le 0}(X)\subset\mathrm{add}(\mathcal{D}).$$

The choice of the function ν guarantees that $\xi(\vec{t})\triangle\xi(\vec{u})$ is infinite for any distinct vectors $\vec{t},\vec{u}\in Q$.

3. To check the condition (3) of Theorem 7, fix any non-empty open set $U\subset X$ and a Γ-system $\mathcal{A}=\langle A,A_\gamma\rangle_{\gamma\in\Gamma}\in\sigma\mathfrak{C}_\Gamma$. Each set A_γ, $\gamma\in\Gamma$, being σ-compact, can be written as the countable union $A_\gamma=\bigcup_{n\in\omega}A_{\gamma,n}$ of an increasing sequence $\langle A_{\gamma,n}\rangle_{n\in\omega}$ of compact subsets of A. Let D be a countable subset of Γ meeting each half-interval $[\gamma,\gamma+\varepsilon)$ where $\gamma\in\Gamma$ and $\varepsilon>0$.

Apply Lemma 2 to find a disjoint family $\langle U_d\rangle_{d\in D}$ of non-empty open subsets of U such that

- $\langle U_d\rangle_{d\in D}$ converges to some point $x_\infty\in U$ in the sense that each neighborhood $O(x_\infty)$ contains all but finitely many sets U_d, $d\in D$;
- for any compact sets $K_d\subset U_d$ the set $K=\{x_\infty\}\cup\bigcup_{d\in D}K_d$ is compact and has dimension $\mathsf{D}(K)\le\sup_{d\in D}\mathsf{D}(K_d)$.

For every $d\in D$ use Lemma 2 once more and find a disjoint family $\langle U_{d,n}\rangle_{n\in\omega}$ of non-empty open subsets of U such that

- $\langle U_{d,n}\rangle_{n\in\omega}$ converges to some point $x_d\in U_d$;
- for any compact sets $K_n\subset U_{d,n}$ the set $K_d=\{x_d\}\cup\bigcup_{n\in\omega}K_n$ is compact and has dimension $\mathsf{D}(K_d)=\sup_{n\in\omega}\mathsf{D}(K_n)$.

By our assumption, for every $d\in D$ and $n\in\omega$ we can find a compact subset $K_{d,n}\subset U_{d,n}$ with $\mathsf{D}(K_{d,n})\in(d]_\Gamma$. Using the homotopical density of the subspace $2^X_{<\omega}$ of finite subsets in 2^X, construct a map $\kappa_{d,n}:A\to 2^X$ such that $\kappa_{d,n}(a)=K_{d,n}$ for every $a\in A_{d,n}$ and $\kappa_{d,n}(a)$ is a finite subset of $U_{d,n}$ for every $a\in A\setminus A_{d,n}$.

Now for every $a\in A$ and $d\in D$ consider the compact subset

$$\kappa_d(a)=\{x_d\}\cup\bigcup_{n\in\omega}\kappa_{d,n}(a)\subset U_d$$

having dimension

$$\mathsf{D}(\kappa_d(a))=\sup_{n\in\omega}\mathsf{D}(\kappa_{d,n}(a)).$$

The choice of the sequence $\langle U_d\rangle_{d\in D}$ ensures that

$$\kappa(a)=\{x_\infty\}\cup\bigcup_{d\in D}\kappa_d(a)$$

is a compact subset of U with dimension

$$\mathsf{D}(\kappa(a))=\sup_{d\in D}\mathsf{D}(\kappa_d(a))=\sup\{\mathsf{D}(\kappa_{d,n}(a)):d\in D,\ n\in\omega\}.$$

It is easy to prove that the map

$$\kappa:A\to 2^U,\ \ \kappa:a\mapsto\kappa(a),$$

is continuous. It remains to check that $\kappa^{-1}(\mathsf{D}_{>\gamma}(X))=A_\gamma$ for all $\gamma\in\Gamma$.

If $a\in A\setminus A_\gamma$, then for every $d\ge\gamma$ in D the inclusion $a\in A\setminus A_d$ implies $\kappa_{d,n}(a)\in 2^X_{<\omega}$. In this case $\mathsf{D}(\kappa_d(a))\le\sup_{n\in\omega}\kappa_{d,n}(a)=0\le\gamma$. On the other hand, for every $d<\gamma$ the inclusions $D(K_{d,n})\in(d]_\Gamma\subset[0,\gamma]$, $n\in\omega$, and the choice of the sequence $\langle U_{d,n}\rangle_{n\in\omega}$ imply $\mathsf{D}(\kappa_d(a))\le\sup_{n\in\omega}D(\kappa_{d,n}(a))\le\gamma$.

Now the choice of the sequence $\langle U_d\rangle_{d\in D}$ guarantees that

$$\mathsf{D}(\kappa(a))\le\sup_{d\in D}\mathsf{D}(\kappa_d(a))\le\gamma$$

and hence

$$\kappa(a) \in \mathsf{D}_{\le\gamma}(X) = 2^X \setminus \mathsf{D}_{>\gamma}(X).$$

Now assume that $a \in A_\gamma$ and hence $a \in A_{\gamma,n}$ for some $n \in \omega$. If $\gamma < \inf(\Gamma_{>\gamma})$, then $\gamma \in D$ and $\mathsf{D}(K_{\gamma,n}) \in (\gamma]_\Gamma = (\gamma, \inf(\Gamma_{>\gamma})]$. Since $K_{\gamma,n} \subset \kappa(a)$, we conclude that $\mathsf{D}(\kappa(a)) \ge \mathsf{D}(K_{\gamma,n}) > \gamma$ and thus $\kappa(a) \in \mathsf{D}_{>\gamma}(X)$.

Next, assume that $\gamma = \inf(\Gamma_{>\gamma})$. In this case $\gamma = \inf(D_{>\gamma})$ and hence $A_\gamma = \bigcup_{d\in D_{>\gamma}} A_d$. It follows that $a \in A_{d,n}$ for some $d \in D_{>\gamma}$ and $n \in \omega$. Since $\kappa(a) \supset K_{d,n}$ and $\mathsf{D}(K_{d,n}) \in (d]_\Gamma \subset (\gamma, +\infty)$, we conclude that $\mathsf{D}(\kappa(a)) \ge \mathsf{D}(K_{d,n}) > \gamma$. So, again $\kappa(a) \in \mathsf{D}_{>\gamma}(X)$. □

The following characterization theorem implies Theorem 1 announced in the Introduction.

Theorem 9. *Let X be a topological space, $\mathsf{D} : 2^X_* \to [0,\infty]$ be a dimension function, and $\Gamma \subset [0,\infty)$ be a subset. The Γ-system $\langle 2^X, \mathsf{D}_{>\gamma}(X)\rangle_{\gamma\in\Gamma}$ is homeomorphic to the model $\sigma\mathfrak{C}_\Gamma$-absorbing Γ-system $\langle Q^{\mathbb{Q}}, Q^{\mathbb{Q}_{\le\gamma}} \times B(Q^{\mathbb{Q}_{>\gamma}})\rangle_{\gamma\in\Gamma}$ if and only if*

1. *X is a non-degenerate Peano continuum,*
2. *each space $\mathsf{D}_{>\gamma}(X)$, $\gamma \in \Gamma$, is σ-compact, and*
3. *each non-empty open set $U \subset X$ for every $\gamma \in \Gamma$ contains a compact subset $K \subset U$ with $D(K) \in (\gamma]_\Gamma$.*

Proof. To prove the "only if" part, assume that the Γ-system $\mathcal{D} = \langle 2^X, \mathsf{D}_{>\gamma}(X)\rangle_{\gamma\in\Gamma}$ is homeomorphic to the model Γ-system $\Sigma_\Gamma = \langle Q^{\mathbb{Q}}, Q^{\mathbb{Q}_{\le\gamma}} \times B(Q^{\mathbb{Q}_{>\gamma}})\rangle_{\gamma\in\Gamma}$. Since 2^X is homeomorphic to $Q^{\mathbb{Q}}$, we may apply the Curtis-Shori Theorem [6] and conclude that X is a non-degenerate Peano continuum.

Since each space $\Sigma_\gamma = Q^{\mathbb{Q}_{\le\gamma}} \times B(Q^{\mathbb{Q}_{>\gamma}})$, $\gamma \in \Gamma$, is σ-compact, so is its topological copy $\mathsf{D}_{>\gamma}(X)$.

The Γ-system $\mathcal{D}$, being homeomorphic to the model $\sigma\mathfrak{C}_\Gamma$-absorbing Γ-system Σ_Γ, is strongly Γ-universal. Now Theorem 8 guarantees that for every $\gamma \in \Gamma$ each non-empty open subset $U \subset X$ contains a compact subset $K \subset U$ with $\mathsf{D}(K) \in (\gamma]_\Gamma$.

Next, we prove the "if" part. Assume that the conditions (1)–(3) are satisfied. We shall prove that the Γ-system $\mathcal{D}$ is $\sigma\mathfrak{C}_\Gamma$-absorbing. By the Curtis-Schori Theorem [6], the hyperspace 2^X is homeomorphic to the Hilbert cube Q. By Theorem 8, the Γ-system $\mathcal{D}$ is strongly $\sigma\mathfrak{C}_\Gamma$-universal. It is clear that this Γ-system is inf-continuous. By the condition (2), it is σ-compact. Hence $\mathcal{D} \in \sigma\mathfrak{C}_\Gamma$.

Let $D \subset \Gamma$ be countable subset that meets each half-interval $[\gamma, \gamma+\varepsilon)$ where $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. It follows that $\bigcup_{\gamma\in\Gamma} \mathsf{D}_{>\gamma}(X) = \bigcup_{\gamma\in D} \mathsf{D}_{>\gamma}(X) \subset \mathsf{D}_{>0}(X)$ is a σZ-set in 2^X, being a σ-compact subset of 2^X that has empty intersection with the homotopy dense subset $2^X_{<\omega} \subset \mathsf{D}_{\le 0}(X)$ on 2^X. So, we can find a countable sequence $\langle Z_n\rangle_{n\in\omega}$ of Z-sets in 2^X such that $\bigcup_{n\in\omega} Z_n \supset \bigcup_{\gamma\in\Gamma} \mathsf{D}_{>\gamma}(X)$. Since $\mathcal{D} \in \sigma\mathfrak{C}_\Gamma$, we get $Z_n \cap \mathcal{D} \in \sigma\mathfrak{C}_\Gamma$ for all $n \in \omega$. This completes the proof of the $\sigma\mathfrak{C}_\Gamma$-absorbing property of the Γ-system $\mathcal{D}$. Since 2^X is homeomorphic to the Hilbert cube, Theorem 6 ensures that $\mathcal{D}$ is homeomorphic to the model Γ-system Σ_Γ. □

6. Mean Value Theorem for Hausdorff dimension

In this section we shall prove Theorem 4. First, we recall shortly the definitions of the Hausdorff measure and dimension. Given a complete separable metric space E and two non-negative real numbers s, ε, consider the number

$$\mathcal{H}^s_\varepsilon(E) = \inf_{\mathcal{B}} \sum_{B\in\mathcal{B}} (\mathrm{diam} B)^s,$$

where infimum is taken over all ε-covers $\mathcal{B}$ of E, i.e. cover of E by sets of diameter $\le \varepsilon$. Since X is separable, we can restrict ourselves by countable covers by closed subsets of diameter $\le \varepsilon$.

The limit $\mathcal{H}^s(E) = \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} \mathcal{H}^s_\varepsilon(E)$ is called the s-dimensional Hausdorff measure of E. It is known that there is a unique finite or infinite number $\dim_H(E)$ called the *Hausdorff dimension* of E and denoted by $\dim_H(E)$ such that $\mathcal{H}^s(E) = \infty$ for all $s < \dim_H(E)$ and $\mathcal{H}^s(E) = 0$ for all $s > \dim_H(E)$, see [9], [11].

Let (X,d) be a separable complete metric space. Theorem 4 will be proved as soon as for every positive real number $s < \dim_H(X)$ we shall find a compact subset $K \subset X$ with Hausdorff dimension $\dim_H(K) = s$.

It follows from $s < \dim_H(E)$ that $\mathcal{H}^s(E) = \infty$ and there exists $0 < \delta < 1$ with

$$\mathcal{H}^s_\delta(E) = k_0 > \frac{\delta^s}{2^{s-1}} = \left(\frac{\delta}{2}\right)^s + \left(\frac{\delta}{4}\right)^s + \left(\frac{\delta}{8}\right)^s + \cdots . \tag{0}$$

We define inductively a decreasing sequence $\{E_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ of closed subsets of E. Let $E_1 = E$. Consider $\mathcal{H}^s_{\delta/2}(E_1)$. Two cases are possible (taking into account the definition of Hausdorff measure):

- $\mathcal{H}^s_{\delta/2}(E_1) = k_0$. In this case we take $E_2 = E_1$.
- $\mathcal{H}^s_{\delta/2}(E_1) > k_0$. Therefore we can choose a closed $\delta/2$-cover $\{U_1, \ldots, U_{m_1}, \ldots\}$ of the set E_1, (without loss of generality assume that this cover is ordered so that $\operatorname{diam}(U_{i+1}) \leq \operatorname{diam}(U_i)$ for all i), such that

$$\mathcal{H}^s_{\delta/2}(E_1) \leq \sum_i (\operatorname{diam}(U_i))^s < \mathcal{H}^s_{\delta/2}(E_1) + (\delta/2)^s. \tag{1}$$

Find a finite number m_1 such that

$$k_0 \leq \sum_{i=1}^{m_1} (\operatorname{diam}(U_i))^s \leq k_0 + (\delta/2)^s. \tag{2}$$

Then take $E_2 = \bigcup_{i=1}^{m_1} E_1 \cap U_i$.

Now we need to estimate $\mathcal{H}^s_{\delta/2}(E_2)$(obviously the second case is interesting). For this we put $E_2' = \bigcup_{i>m_1} E_1 \cap U_i$ and note that

$$\mathcal{H}^s_{\delta/2}(E_1) \leq \mathcal{H}^s_{\delta/2}(E_2) + \mathcal{H}^s_{\delta/2}(E_2'). \tag{3}$$

On the other hand

$$\sum_i (\operatorname{diam}(U_i))^s = \sum_{i \leq m_1} (\operatorname{diam}(U_i))^s + \sum_{i>m_1} (\operatorname{diam}(U_i))^s. \tag{4}$$

Consider the real numbers $\varepsilon_1 = \sum_i (\operatorname{diam}(U_i))^s - \mathcal{H}^s_{\delta/2}(E_1)$, $\varepsilon_2 = \sum_{i=1}^{m_1} (\operatorname{diam}(U_i))^s - \mathcal{H}^s_{\delta/2}(E_2)$, $\varepsilon_2' = \sum_{i>m_1} (\operatorname{diam}(U_i))^s - \mathcal{H}^s_{\delta/2}(E_2')$ and observe that $0 \leq \varepsilon_1 < (\delta/2)^s$ by (1), and $\varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_2' \geq 0$. Therefore (3) and (4) yield $\varepsilon_1 \geq \varepsilon_2 + \varepsilon_2'$ and hence $0 \leq \varepsilon_2 < (\delta/2)^s$. Taking into account (2), we have:

$$k_0 - (\delta/2)^s \leq \mathcal{H}^s_{\delta/2}(E_2) \leq k_0 + (\delta/2)^s. \tag{5}$$

Now denote $\mathcal{H}^s_{\delta/2}(E_2) = k_1$. From (0) and (5) it follows that $0 < k_1 < \infty$. By the definition of Hausdorff measure we have $0 < \mathcal{H}^s(E_2) \leq \infty$, that in turn implies $\dim_H(E_2) \geq s$. It allows us to make the following inductive step.

Consider now $\mathcal{H}^s_{\delta/4}(E_2)$. If $\mathcal{H}^s_{\delta/4}(E_2) = k_1$, then take $E_3 = E_2$. If $\mathcal{H}^s_{\delta/4}(E_2) > k_1$, then similarly to the described above we find a closed $\delta/4$-cover $\{U_1, \ldots, U_{m_2}, \ldots\}$ of E_2, such that

$$\mathcal{H}^s_{\delta/4}(E_2) \leq \sum_i (\operatorname{diam}(U_i))^s < \mathcal{H}^s_{\delta/4}(E_2) + (\delta/4)^s.$$

Find a finite number m_2 such that

$$k_1 \leq \sum_{i=1}^{m_2} (\operatorname{diam}(U_i))^s \leq k_1 + (\delta/4)^s.$$

Let $E_3 = \bigcup_{i=1}^{m_2} E_2 \cap U_i$. As above, we can to estimate $\mathcal{H}^s_{\delta/4}(E_3)$. We obtain:

$$k_1 - (\delta/4)^s \leq \mathcal{H}^s_{\delta/4}(E_3) \leq k_1 + (\delta/4)^s.$$

Or, taking into account (5):

$$k_0 - (\delta/2)^s - (\delta/4)^s \leq \mathcal{H}^s_{\delta/4}(E_3) \leq k_0 + (\delta/2)^s + (\delta/4)^s.$$

Again we can state that $\dim_H(E_3) \geq s$ and continue inductive process by constructing in similar way $E_4, E_5, \dots E_n, \dots$, for which we obtain in general case the estimate:

$$k_0 - (\delta/2)^s - \dots - (\delta/2^{n-1})^s \leq \mathcal{H}^s_{\delta/2^{n-1}}(E_n) \leq k_0 + (\delta/2)^s + \dots + (\delta/2^{n-1})^s. \tag{6}$$

It follows that $E_1 \supseteq E_2 \supseteq E_3 \supseteq \dots$ is a decreasing sequence of closed subsets of X with compact intersection $K = \lim\limits_{n\to\infty} E_n = \bigcap\limits_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$. Using the continuity of the measure $\mathcal{H}^s$ we obtain:

$$\mathcal{H}^s(K) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \mathcal{H}^s(E_n) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \lim_{i\to\infty} \mathcal{H}^s_{\delta/2^{i-1}}(E_n) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \mathcal{H}^s_{\delta/2^{n-1}}(E_n).$$

Additionally using (6) we obtain the estimate:

$$k_0 - \frac{\delta^s}{2^{s-1}} \leq \mathcal{H}^s(F) \leq k_0 + \frac{\delta^s}{2^{s-1}}.$$

Taking into account (0) we can state that $\dim_H(F) = s$.

References

1. T. Banakh, T. Radul, M. Zarichnyi. *Absorbing Sets in Infinite-Dimensional Manifolds*, Monograph Series, vol.1, VNTL Publ., Lviv, 1996.
2. C. Bessaga, A. Pełczyński. *Selected topics in infinite-dimensional topology*, PWN, Warsaw, 1975.
3. R. Cauty, *Suites $\mathcal{F}_\sigma$-absorbantes en théorie de la dimension*, Fund. Math. **159** (1999) 115–126.
4. T. A. Chapman, *Lectures on Hilbert cube manifolds*, CBMS 28, AMS, Providence, 1975.
5. D.W. Curtis, *Hyperspaces of noncompact metric spaces*, Compositio Math. **40** (1980) 139–152.
6. D.W. Curtis, R.M. Schori, *Hyperspaces of Peano continua are Hilbert cubes*, Fund. Math. **101** (1978) 19–38.
7. J.J. Dijkstra, J. van Mill, J. Mogilski, *The space of infinite-dimensional compacta and other topological copies of $(l^2_f)^\omega$*, Pacif. J. Math. **152** (1992) 255–273.
8. T. Dobrowolski, L. Rubin, *The hyperspace of infinite-dimensional compacta for covering and cohomological dimension are homeomorphic*, Pacific J. Math. **164** (1994) 15–39.
9. G. Edgar, *Measure, Topology and Fractal Geometry*, Springer, New York, 1995.
10. R. Engelking, *Theory of Dimensions, Finite and Infinite*, Heldermann Verlag, Lemgo, 1995.
11. K.J. Falconer, *The Geometry of Fractal Sets*, Cambridge University Press, 1985.
12. H. Gladdines, *Absorbing systems in infinite-dimensional manifolds and applications*, Vrije Universiteit, Amsterdam, 1994.
13. N. Mazurenko, *Absorbing sets related to Hausdorff dimension*, Visnyk Lviv Univ., Ser. Mech-Math. **61** (2003) 121–128.
14. N. Mazurenko, *Uncountable absorbing systems related to the Hausdorff dimension*, Mat. Stud. **31** (2009) 195–203.
15. J. van Mill, *Infinite-Dimensional Topology*, Vrije Universiteit, Amsterdam, 1989.
16. J. van Mill, *The Infinite-Dimensional Topology of Function Spaces*, Elsevier, Amsterdam 2001.

Department of Mathematics, Ivan Franko University in Lviv, Ukraine, and Instytut Matematyki, Jan Kochanowski University in Kielce, Poland

E-mail address: tbanakh@yahoo.com

Precarpatian National University, Ivano-Frankivsk, Ukraine

E-mail address: mnatali@ukr.net